



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,743	01/16/2004	Andrzej Turski	MS305754.01/MSFTPS32US	6373
27195	7590	07/18/2008		
AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP				
24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER				
1900 EAST NINTH STREET				
CLEVELAND, OH 44114				
EXAMINER				
HEFFINGTON, JOHN M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2179				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/18/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

doctet1@thepatentattorneys.com

hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com

lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/758,743

Applicant(s)

TURSKE ET AL.

Examiner

JOHN M. HEFFINGTON

Art Unit

2179

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John M. Heffington.

(3) _____.

(2) Nilesh Amin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 25, 28 and 34.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ortega et al. US 6,489,968 B1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claims were worded such that art which disclosed "elevating" lower level objects to ANY upper level folder would read on the claims. However, the intent of the claims is that lower level objects which were packed or unpacked would be viewable at EACH upper level folder of a hierarchical folder structure.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ba Huynh/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2179
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.